

REMARKS

Applicants respectfully request allowance of the subject application in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.

Claims 1, 3-11, 14-19 and 34-40 are pending in the application, with claims 1, 34, and 35 being independent. Claim 33 has been canceled without prejudice to or disclaimer of the subject matter recited therein. No new matter has been added.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants acknowledge with appreciation the indication that claims 1, 3-11, 14-19, and 34-40 are allowed.

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

The Office Action states that “[t]he prior art does not disclose executing a first level of code functionality if an optional set of permissions in the requestable permission set is a subset of the permission set, and executing a second level of code functionality if a different optional set of permissions in the requestable permission set is a subset of the permission set.”

Applicant notes that independent claim 35 does not recite such features. Nevertheless, Applicant submits that claim 35 is allowable over the cited art for the features that it recites.

§ 101 Rejection

In the Office Action, independent claim 33 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. This rejection is respectfully traversed. Nevertheless,

without conceding the propriety of the rejection, claim 33 has been canceled, thereby rendering the rejection of that claim moot.

CONCLUSION

For at least the foregoing reasons, claims 1, 3-11, 14-19 and 34-40 are in condition for allowance. Applicant requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the § 101 rejection, and an early notice of allowance.

If any issue remains unresolved that would prevent allowance of this case, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney to resolve the issue.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 9/20/05

By: 
David A. Divine
Lee & Hayes, PLLC
Reg. No. 51,275
(509) 324-9256 ext. 233